

恶性肿瘤免疫检查点抑制剂耐药机制及临床应用

许斌¹, 胡梦雪², 宋启斌¹

(1. 武汉大学人民医院, 湖北 武汉 430060; 2. 武汉大学第一临床学院, 湖北 武汉 430060)

摘要:与以往肿瘤经典治疗手段不同, 肿瘤免疫治疗的焦点从肿瘤本身转为动员宿主免疫系统去识别并消灭肿瘤细胞。近年来, 针对免疫检查点的单克隆抗体在临床应用中取得了飞速进展, 随之而来的是各种耐药问题的出现。文章针对各种耐药分子机制以及相关临床应用作一具体阐述。

关键词:免疫治疗; 免疫检查点抑制剂; 耐药机制; 肿瘤; 临床应用

分类号:R730.51 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1004-0242(2017)09-0707-07

doi:10.11735/j.issn.1004-0242.2017.09.A010

Clinical Application of Cancer Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors and Related Mechanism of Drug Resistance

XU Bin¹, HU Meng-xue², SONG Qi-bin¹

(1. Wuhan University Renmin Hospital, Wuhan 430060, China; 2. The First Clinical School of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430060, China)

Abstract: The focus of tumor immunotherapy has been shifted from tumor itself to host immune system, which is different from conventional tumor therapy. In recent years, the monoclonal antibodies against immune checkpoints have made rapid progress in clinical applications, nevertheless the related drug resistance also appears. This article reviews the resistance mechanism of immune checkpoints drugs and related clinical implications.

Key words: immunotherapy; immune checkpoint inhibitors; resistance mechanism; tumor; clinical application

对大多数患者来说, 转移性恶性肿瘤仍然是一种不可治愈的疾病, 癌细胞内在基因组的不稳定性有助于癌细胞逃脱机体免疫系统的监测及外界干预治疗手段。随着肿瘤免疫检查点和抑制剂的发现^[1], 肿瘤免疫生物学及其治疗都取得了重大突破。

Brunet 等^[2]在活化的效应 T 细胞和调节性 T 细胞(Tregs)上发现了 CTLA-4 受体。随后 Krummel 等^[3]发现 CTLA-4 与 CD28 竞争 B7 配体可抑制 T 细胞 IL-2 的分泌。之后 2011 年 ipilimumab 被批准用于治疗晚期黑色素瘤, 成为第一类 FDA 批准的 CTLA-4 检查点抑制剂^[4]。

1992 年, Ishida 等^[5]在活化 T 细胞内克隆出一个重要的检查点受体 PD-1, 随后其配体 PD-L1 也被发现^[6]。PD-L1 高表达可诱导肿瘤细胞免疫逃逸。PD-1 和 PD-L1 抑制剂能激活肿瘤反应性 T 细胞并在多种肿瘤组织中产生长期的抗肿瘤反应^[7], 包括部分免疫治疗不敏感的肿瘤类型。目前有十余种 PD-1 和 PD-L1 抗体已获批或正处于临床试验阶段。

随着免疫检查点抑制剂应用增加, 越来越多的恶性肿瘤患者出现临床耐药问题。免疫检查点抑制剂耐药包括原发性、适应性以及获得性耐药。在患者疾病发展过程中多种因素不断影响抗肿瘤免疫应答的进展, 免疫相关的耐药问题也将会成为未来免疫治疗的挑战。

收稿日期: 2017-04-05; 修回日期: 2017-07-17

基金项目: 武汉大学 2016 年度自主科研项目(自然科学类)(2042016kf0088)

通讯作者: 宋启斌, E-mail: qibinsong@163.com

1 原发性、适应性耐药

原发性耐药指患者对检查点抑制剂初始治疗无应答。适应性耐药指患者在有效治疗一段时间后产生耐药性。有研究表明肿瘤细胞的内、外在因素都参与该耐药机制。缺乏肿瘤抗原而不能被 T 细胞识别是肿瘤患者对免疫检查点阻滞治疗无应答的最直接原因^[8]。另外,表达肿瘤抗原的癌细胞也可通过改变 β_2 微球蛋白或主要组织相容性复合体(MHC)本身破坏抗原提呈过程^[9]。

1.1 肿瘤细胞内在因素

肿瘤细胞内在因素指细胞本身通过表达或沉默某些基因和通路、抑制免疫细胞浸润到肿瘤微环境,来促进免疫治疗原发性或适应性耐药的形成。肿瘤细胞可通过激活丝裂原活化蛋白激酶(MAPK)信号转导通路及抑制 PTEN 的表达,进一步增强 PI3K 信号、Wnt/ β 连环蛋白信号通路,抑制干扰素 γ (IFN- γ) 信号通路以及肿瘤抗原的表达。MAPK 致癌信号通路可通过表达 VEGF 和 IL-8 抑制 T 细胞的募集和功能^[10]。多种肿瘤 PTEN 的缺失可增强 PI3K 信号,进而导致免疫治疗耐药^[11]。TCGA 黑色素瘤相关数据显示 PTEN 的丢失可降低 IFN- γ 、颗粒酶 B 的基因表达以及 CD8 T 细胞的浸润。致癌信号通路介导的 T 细胞免疫逃逸可能与 Wnt 信号通路的 β 连环蛋白的稳定性相关^[12]。

癌细胞高表达 PD-L1 配体能有效抑制 T 细胞的抗肿瘤反应。PDJ 扩增是指 9 号染色体的 *PD-L1*、*PD-L2* 基因和 IFN- γ 受体信号转导分子激酶 2 (*JAK2*) 基因的联合扩增^[13]。在霍奇金淋巴瘤的恶性 Reed Sternberg 细胞中发现了 PDJ 扩增,且抗 PD-1 治疗对超过 80% 的化疗难治性霍奇金病患者有效^[14]。*PTEN* 缺失、*PI3K* 或 *Akt* 基因突变^[15]、*EGFR* 突变^[16]、*MYC* 过度表达^[17]、*CDK5* 破坏^[18]、*PD-L1* 基因 3' 端非编码区的缺失都可导致癌细胞 PD-L1 表达水平的改变^[19]。目前尚不清楚这些致癌信号表达的 PD-L1 是否临床抗 PD-1、抗 PD-L1 治疗耐药性的产生相关。

IFN- γ 信号通路是免疫治疗耐药性形成的一个关键通路^[20-23],其在抗肿瘤免疫应答中具有双重作用。以癌细胞或 APCs 同源抗原为靶向的肿瘤特异性 T 细胞分泌的 IFN- γ ,可促进 MHC 分子的表达、

参与并增强肿瘤抗原提呈、招募其他免疫细胞、直接抑制癌细胞增殖及促癌细胞凋亡^[24]。但持续 IFN- γ 暴露也会诱导癌细胞重新编辑并诱导免疫逃逸^[25,26]。癌细胞可通过 IFN- γ 受体链 *JAK1* 和 *JAK2*、信号转导分子及转录激活分子(*STATs*)的突变或减少表达以逃脱 IFN- γ 效应^[27]。在细胞系和动物模型中,IFN 受体信号通路分子的突变或表观遗传沉默都会导致 IFN- γ 失去抗肿瘤作用^[28,29]。分析显示对 CTLA-4 抗体 ipilimumab 治疗无应答的肿瘤患者体内 IFN- γ 受体 1、2 基因 (*IFNGR1* 和 *IFNGR2*)、*JAK2* 和干扰素调节因子 1(*IRF1*)有高频突变。这些突变能影响 IFN- γ 的信号转导并使肿瘤细胞逃脱 T 细胞攻击,诱导抗 CTLA-4 治疗原发性耐药;同时也会诱导癌细胞 *PD-L1* 基因沉默,导致 IFN- γ 暴露下 PD-L1 表达减少及原发性耐药^[30]。癌细胞 DNA 的表观遗传修饰可能会改变免疫相关基因的表达并影响抗原加工、提呈和免疫逃逸^[31,32]。去甲基化剂能使免疫相关基因重新表达,与免疫疗法联用时有潜在的治疗作用^[33]。一些临床前期数据表明逆转癌细胞表观遗传变异可增强免疫治疗的识别和应答。

1.2 肿瘤细胞外在因素

肿瘤细胞原发性、适应性耐药的外在因素包括肿瘤微环境中除肿瘤细胞的其他成分,如 Tregs、髓源抑制性细胞(MDSCs)、M2 型巨噬细胞和其他抑制性免疫检查点。Tregs 通过分泌 IL-10、TGF- β 和 IL-35 等抑制性细胞因子或直接细胞接触途径抑制效应 T 细胞(Teffs),在维持自身免疫耐受中起重要作用^[34]。研究表明,肿瘤微环境的 Tregs 耗竭可以恢复或提高抗肿瘤免疫^[35,36],不能增加原发或复发肿瘤中 Teffs/Tregs 比值的免疫治疗更易产生耐药性。MDSCs 已成为包括肿瘤在内的各种病理条件下免疫应答的主要调节者。研究表明,肿瘤微环境中的 MDSCs 可导致免疫检查点抑制剂^[37]、过继性 T 细胞治疗和 DC 疫苗等免疫治疗效果下降^[38,39]。因此,消除或重新编辑 MDSCs 有望提高免疫治疗的疗效。

在荷瘤小鼠模型中,巨噬细胞 PI3K γ 的选择性失活可协同免疫检查点抑制剂促进肿瘤的消退并提高生存率^[40]。缺乏 PI3K γ 的小鼠或 PI3K γ 抑制剂(TG100-115 或 IPI-549)治疗的荷瘤小鼠肿瘤生长受抑,且该荷瘤小鼠巨噬细胞中的免疫活化相关基因和蛋白明显上调。这些数据说明 PI3K γ 作为一个分

子转换器可以调节巨噬细胞功能。研究人员还发现 PI3K γ 抑制剂(TG100-115)与抗 PD-1 联用可改善肿瘤免疫排斥现象并提高荷瘤小鼠的生存期。在另一项研究中,与抗 CTLA-4 和抗 PD-1 联合治疗的荷瘤小鼠相比,PI3K γ 抑制剂、抗 CTLA-4 和抗 PD-1 三联疗法加快了肿瘤的消退并提高了荷瘤小鼠的长期生存率^[41]。这些研究说明 PI3K γ 抑制剂联合免疫检查点抑制剂治疗恶性肿瘤具有进一步研究的价值。

肿瘤相关巨噬细胞(TAM)是另一类影响免疫应答的细胞。TAM 有促进抗肿瘤免疫的 M1 型巨噬细胞和具有亲致瘤特性的 M2 巨噬细胞两种^[42]。在化学因素诱导肺腺癌的小鼠模型中,由于 CCL2 和/或 CCR2 信号的失活抑制了 M2 型巨噬细胞的募集,从而限制肿瘤的生长^[43]。同样的,在皮肤 T 细胞淋巴瘤^[44]、结肠癌、乳腺癌^[45]、黑色素瘤等荷瘤小鼠模型中 M2 型巨噬细胞缺失也表现出相似的效应^[46,47]。研究表明,巨噬细胞可通过调节肝细胞癌 PD-L1 和卵巢癌 B7-H4 的表达直接抑制 T 细胞应答^[48,49]。

2 获得性耐药

诱导长期、持续性的抗肿瘤应答是肿瘤免疫治疗的标志。然而,随着免疫治疗的广泛使用,获得性耐药的患者逐渐增加。对抗 CTLA-4、抗 PD-1 治疗有客观应答的部分转移性黑色素瘤患者在持续性治疗过程中仍会复发^[50]。复发的潜在机制有 T 细胞功能的丧失、肿瘤抗原提呈下降致 T 细胞识别受限、肿瘤免疫逃逸细胞株的形成。以上机制均能导致检查点抑制剂和过继细胞治疗(adoptive cell therapy, ACT)的获得性耐药。

有证据表明,由于 HLA I 类分子共享组件 B2M 的丢失,对 IL-2 或 TIL-ACT 免疫疗法有初始应答的患者会出现获得性耐药。B2M 参与 HLA I 类折叠及运输^[51,52],其遗传缺陷会导致 CD8⁺T 细胞识别受限。在 1 例抗 PD-1 晚期获得性耐药患者的耐药细胞中发现 B2M 的纯合子突变及细胞表面 HLA I 类分子表达的缺失^[23]。同时在另外 2 例复发肿瘤研究中发现 JAK1、JAK2 野生型等位基因杂合性缺失并丧失相关功能。以上突变可诱导癌细胞逃脱 IFN- γ 的抗增殖作用^[23]。在 1 例 TIL-ACT 治疗有效的转移性结直肠癌研究中发现抗原提呈物质的丢失

参与获得性耐药的形。因此,抗原提呈物质以及 IFN- γ 信号转导通路相关遗传物质的改变,可能促进抗 PD-1 及 ACT 治疗获得性耐药的发生。

抗肿瘤 T 细胞以肿瘤细胞表达的同源抗原为靶点,肿瘤细胞减少抗原表达或使其突变则可形成获得性耐药。数据显示检查点抑制剂启动的抗肿瘤 T 细胞主要识别突变新抗原^[53,54]。基因缺失、突变或表观遗传的改变都会导致 MHC 呈递的突变新抗原下降,进而形成获得性耐药。临床证据表明抗肿瘤 T 细胞靶点的丢失会增加肿瘤免疫治疗的耐药。

3 监测耐药机制

总肿瘤突变负荷是目前最好的预测检查点抑制剂疗效的生物标志物^[55,56]。高突变负荷的恶性肿瘤分泌高水平的新抗原并诱导有效的抗肿瘤免疫反应,并与多种恶性肿瘤免疫检查点抑制剂应答增强相关^[57,58]。除了基因组标志物和免疫调节基因表达谱外^[59],包括 CD8⁺T 淋巴细胞的密度和分布、T 细胞克隆能力、PD-L1 表达在内的免疫标志物都与免疫检查点抑制剂的疗效区别相关。目前,肿瘤免疫学能同时分析肿瘤微环境的以下 7 大特征:肿瘤对免疫效应细胞的敏感性、肿瘤的异质性、全身免疫状态、免疫细胞浸润、检查点分子表达缺失、可溶解抑制剂如 IL-1 和 IL-6 的缺失以及肿瘤代谢抑制剂的缺失^[60]。这些研究将有助于肿瘤免疫治疗的个体化。

4 克服免疫治疗耐药

随着耐药机制的阐明,更多的研究致力于如何克服免疫治疗耐药,如通过一些手段将免疫冷肿瘤转化为热肿瘤^[61-63],或通过 TILs 体外扩增回输^[64]、抗原特异性 T 细胞过继疗法以增强内源性 T 细胞功能等^[65-69]。已有研究利用联合用药模式尝试减少免疫耐药的发生^[70-72],如联用抗 CTLA-4 和抗 PD-1 能大大提高免疫治疗反应率并延长转移黑色素瘤患者的生存期^[73-75]。联合用药的基本原理是多效应叠加,肿瘤特异性 T 细胞检查点抑制剂都具有重叠性和特异性,联合使用具有协同作用。

免疫反应具有双重性,可导致免疫编辑和免疫逃逸,这将成为管理免疫治疗药物、推动抗肿瘤免疫

应答的重要考量因素。一些临床实验正在检测肿瘤微环境中LAG-3、TIGIT、ISTA等抑制性免疫检查点以及相关抗体单一或联合使用的疗效^[76-78]。我们需要通过更多的临床试验去发现针对其他抑制性通路、有效克服耐药并能调节免疫反应的二联或三联疗法,以获取额外临床效益。

传统理论认为化疗会减少淋巴细胞数量或影响其功能导致免疫抑制,但是随着研究的不断深入,有证据提示化疗药物可增加肿瘤细胞抗原性^[79]、免疫原性及敏感性^[80],也可抑制Tregs及MDSCs^[81,82]并促进DCs功能^[83]。放疗可引起免疫源性细胞死亡,并可促进肿瘤微环境中T细胞的募集及其功能,有协同免疫治疗的作用^[84]。有研究显示对肿瘤进行局部放疗可将对抗CTLA-4抗体耐药的肿瘤转变为对抗CTLA-4抗体敏感的肿瘤^[85]。局部大剂量放疗后会诱导共抑制分子(如PD-L1)的表达^[86]。小鼠胶质瘤模型中,联合放疗和抗PD-1治疗显著增加了中位生存期,部分存在抗肿瘤记忆应答^[87]。目前,已有部分放疗、化疗联合免疫治疗的研究结果陆续公布,但是该模式的治疗纵然有较为广阔的前景,其全面推广仍尚需时日。

靶向治疗可能通过破坏癌基因依赖和加强抗肿瘤免疫作用致肿瘤细胞衰老并促进T细胞清除癌细胞^[88]。联合分子靶向治疗与免疫治疗具有完善的临床和预临床基本理论^[89-91]。有研究表明尽管针对黑色素瘤BRAF致癌基因的靶向治疗单用无法长期控制肿瘤^[92-94],但却能通过作用于肿瘤微环境,如增加抗原分泌^[95]、增加HLA表达^[96]、增强T细胞浸润、减少免疫抑制细胞因子的释放和改善T细胞功能^[97-99],真正将肿瘤“冷”微环境转为“热”微环境,以便于支持包括免疫治疗在内的多模式治疗手段。

5 总 结

免疫治疗是近年来抗肿瘤研究新的热点和可能,随着免疫治疗分子机制的研究进展,免疫检查点抑制剂得到了广泛应用。同时,如何克服免疫治疗耐药需要更充足的理论研究及应对策略。免疫治疗与多模式治疗手段的联合以及其他免疫检查点的发现,将成为抗肿瘤治疗中极其重要的研究方向。

参考文献:

- [1] Miller JF, Sadelain M. The journey from discoveries in fundamental immunology to cancer immunotherapy[J]. *Cancer Cell*, 2015, 27(4):439-449.
- [2] Brunet JF, Denizot F, Luciani MF, et al. A new member of the immunoglobulin superfamily-CTLA-4[J]. *Nature*, 1987, 328:267-270.
- [3] Krummel MF, Allison JP. CD28 and CTLA-4 have opposing effects on the response of T cells to stimulation [J]. *J Exp Med*, 1995, 182:459-465.
- [4] Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2010, 363:711-723.
- [5] Ishida Y, Agata Y, Shibahara K, et al. Induced expression of PD-1, a novel member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily, upon programmed cell death [J]. *EMBO J*, 1992, 11:3887-3895.
- [6] Dong H, Zhu G, Tamada K, et al. B7-H1, a third member of the B7 family, co-stimulates T-cell proliferation and interleukin-10 secretion[J]. *Nat Med*, 1999, 5:1365-1369.
- [7] Okazaki T, Chikuma S, Iwai Y, et al. A rheostat for immune responses: the unique properties of PD-1 and their advantages for clinical application [J]. *Nat Immunol*, 2013, 14:1212-1218.
- [8] Gubin MM, Zhang X, Schuster H, et al. Checkpoint blockade cancer immunotherapy targets tumour-specific mutant antigens[J]. *Nature*, 2014, 515:577-581.
- [9] Marincola FM, Jaffee EM, Hicklin DJ, et al. Escape of human solid tumors from T-cell recognition: molecular mechanisms and functional significance [J]. *Adv Immunol*, 2000, 74:181-273.
- [10] Liu C, Peng W, Xu C, et al. BRAF inhibition increases tumor infiltration by T cells and enhances the antitumor activity of adoptive immunotherapy in mice[J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2013, 19:393-403.
- [11] Peng W, Chen JQ, Liu C, et al. Loss of PTEN promotes resistance to T cell-mediated immunotherapy [J]. *Cancer Discov*, 2016, 6:202-216.
- [12] Spranger S, Bao R, Gajewski TF. Melanoma-intrinsic catenin signalling prevents anti-tumour immunity [J]. *Nature*, 2015, 523:231-235.
- [13] Rooney MS, Shukla SA, Wu CJ, et al. Molecular and genetic properties of tumors associated with local immune cytolytic activity[J]. *Cell*, 2015, 160:48-61.
- [14] Ansell SM, Lesokhin AM, Borrello I, et al. PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2015, 372:311-319.
- [15] Lastwika KJ, Wilson W, Li QK, et al. Control of PD-L1 expression by oncogenic activation of the AKT-mTOR pathway in non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *Cancer Res*,

- 2016,76:227–238.
- [16] Akbay EA, Koyama S, Carretero J, et al. Activation of the PD-1 pathway contributes to immune escape in EGFR-driven lung tumors[J]. *Cancer Discov*, 2013, 3:1355–1363.
- [17] Casey SC, Tong L, Do R, et al. MYC regulates the antitumor immune response through CD47 and PD-L1 [J]. *Science*, 2016, 352:27–33.
- [18] Dorand RD, Nthale J, Myers JT, et al. Cdk5 disruption attenuates tumor PD-L1 expression and promotes antitumor immunity[J]. *Science*, 2016, 353:399–403.
- [19] Kataoka K, Shiraishi Y, Takeda Y, et al. Aberrant PD-L1 expression through 30-UTR disruption in multiple cancers [J]. *Nature*, 2016, 534:402–406.
- [20] Gao J, Shi LZ, Zhao H, et al. Loss of IFN- γ pathway genes in tumor cells as a mechanism of resistance to anti-CTLA-4 therapy[J]. *Cell*, 2016, 167:397–404.
- [21] Pardoll DM. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy[J]. *Nat Rev Cancer*, 2012, 12:252–264.
- [22] Shin DS, Zaretsky JM, Escuin-Ordinas H, et al. Primary resistance to PD-1 blockade mediated by JAK (1/2) mutations[J]. *Cancer Discov*, 2016. [Epub ahead of print]
- [23] Zaretsky JM, Garcia-Diaz A, Shin DS, et al. Mutations associated with acquired resistance to PD-1 blockade in melanoma[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2016, 375:819–829.
- [24] Plataniotis LC. Mechanisms of type-I and type-II-interferon-mediated signalling[J]. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 2005, 5:375–386.
- [25] Benci JL, Xu B, Qiu Y, et al. Tumor interferon signaling regulates a multigenic resistance program to immune checkpoint blockade[J]. *Cell*, 2016, 167:1540–1554.
- [26] Shankaran V, Ikeda H, Bruce AT, et al. IFN γ and lymphocytes prevent primary tumour development and shape tumour immunogenicity [J]. *Nature*, 2001, 410:1107–1111.
- [27] Darnell JE, Kerr IM, Stark GR. Jak-STAT pathways and transcriptional activation in response to IFNs and other extracellular signaling proteins [J]. *Science*, 1994, 264:1415–1421.
- [28] Dunn GP, Bruce AT, Sheehan KC, et al. A critical function for type I interferons in cancer immunoediting[J]. *Nat Immunol*, 2005, 6:722–729.
- [29] Kaplan MH, Wurster AL, Grusby MJ. A signal transducer and activator of transcription (Stat)4-independent pathway for the development of T helper type 1 cells [J]. *J Exp Med*, 1998, 188:1191–1196.
- [30] Shin DS, Ribas A. The evolution of checkpoint blockade as a cancer therapy; what's here, what's next? [J]. *Curr Opin Immunol*, 2015, 33:23–35.
- [31] Karpf AR, Jones DA. Reactivating the expression of methylation silenced genes in human cancer[J]. *Oncogene*, 2002, 21:5496–5503.
- [32] Kim HJ, Bae SC. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: molecular mechanisms of action and clinical trials as anti-cancer drugs[J]. *Am J Transl Res*, 2011, 3:166–179.
- [33] He'ninger E, Krueger TE, Lang JM. Augmenting antitumor immune responses with epigenetic modifying agents [J]. *Front Immunol*, 2015, 6:29.
- [34] Rudensky AY. Regulatory T cells and Foxp3 [J]. *Immunol Rev*, 2011, 241:260–268.
- [35] Linehan DC, Goedegebuure PS. CD25⁺ CD4⁺ regulatory T-cells in cancer[J]. *Immunol Res*, 2005, 32:155–168.
- [36] Viehl CT, Moore TT, Liyanage UK, et al. Depletion of CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells promotes a tumor-specific immune response in pancreas cancer-bearing mice[J]. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2006, 13:1252–1258.
- [37] Meyer C, Cagnon L, Costa-Nunes CM, et al. Frequencies of circulating MDSC correlate with clinical outcome of melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab[J]. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, 2014, 63:247–257.
- [38] Kodumudi KN, Weber A, Sarnaik AA, et al. Blockade of myeloid-derived suppressor cells after induction of lymphopenia improves adoptive T cell therapy in a murine model of melanoma[J]. *J Immunol*, 2012, 189:5147–5154.
- [39] Laborde RR, Lin Y, Gustafson MP, et al. Cancer vaccines in the world of immune suppressive monocytes (CD14(+) HLA-DR(lo/neg) cells): the gateway to improved responses [J]. *Front Immunol*, 2014, 5:147.
- [40] De Henau O, Rausch M, Winkler D, et al. Overcoming resistance to checkpoint blockade therapy by targeting PI3K γ in myeloid cells[J]. *Nature*, 2016, 539:443–447.
- [41] Kaneda MM, Messer KS, Ralainirina N, et al. PI3K is a molecular switch that controls immune suppression[J]. *Nature*, 2016, 539:437–442.
- [42] Chanmee T, Ontong P, Konno K, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages as major players in the tumor microenvironment[J]. *Cancers (Basel)*, 2014, 6:1670–1690.
- [43] Fritz JM, Tennis MA, Orlicky DJ, et al. Depletion of tumor-associated macrophages slows the growth of chemically induced mouse lung adenocarcinomas [J]. *Front Immunol*, 2014, 5:587.
- [44] Wu X, Schulte BC, Zhou Y, et al. Depletion of M2-like tumor-associated macrophages delays cutaneous T-cell lymphoma development in vivo [J]. *J Invest Dermatol*, 2014, 134:2814–2822.
- [45] Luo Y, Zhou H, Krueger J, et al. Targeting tumor-associated macrophages as a novel strategy against breast cancer [J]. *J Clin Invest*, 2006, 116:2132–2141.
- [46] Ries CH, Cannarile MA, Hoves S, et al. Targeting tumor-associated macrophages with anti-CSF-1R antibody reveals a strategy for cancer therapy [J]. *Cancer Cell*, 2014, 25:846–859.

- [47] Ruffell B, Chang-Strachan D, Chan V, et al. Macrophage IL-10 blocks CD8⁺ T cell-dependent responses to chemotherapy by suppressing IL-12 expression in intratumoral dendritic cells[J]. *Cancer Cell*, 2014, 26:623–637.
- [48] Kuang DM, Zhao Q, Peng C, et al. Activated monocytes in peritumoral stroma of hepatocellular carcinoma foster immune privilege and disease progression through PD-L1[J]. *J Exp Med*, 2009, 206:1327–1337.
- [49] Kryczek I, Zou L, Rodriguez P, et al. B7-H4 expression identifies a novel suppressive macrophage population in human ovarian carcinoma[J]. *J Exp Med*, 2006, 203:871–881.
- [50] Schachter JRA, Long GV, Arance A, et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab for advanced melanoma: final overall survival analysis of KEYNOTE-006[J]. *J Clin Oncol*, 2016, 34(Suppl): abstr 9504.
- [51] D'Urso CM, Wang ZG, Cao Y, et al. Lack of HLA class I antigen expression by cultured melanoma cells FO-1 due to a defect in B2m gene expression [J]. *J Clin Invest*, 1991, 87:284–292.
- [52] Restifo NP, Marincola FM, Kawakami Y, et al. Loss of functional beta 2-microglobulin in metastatic melanomas from five patients receiving immunotherapy[J]. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 1996, 88: 100–108.
- [53] Schumacher TN, Schreiber RD. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy[J]. *Science*, 2015, 348:69–74.
- [54] Van Rooij N, Van Buuren MM, Philips D, et al. Tumor exome analysis reveals neoantigen-specific T-cell reactivity in an ipilimumab responsive melanoma [J]. *J Clin Oncol*, 2013, 31:439–442.
- [55] Roszik J, Haydu LE, Hess KR, et al. Novel algorithmic approach predicts tumor mutation load and correlates with immunotherapy clinical outcomes using a defined gene mutation set[J]. *BMC Med*, 2016, 14:168.
- [56] Snyder A, Makarov V, Merghoub T, et al. Genetic basis for clinical response to CTLA-4 blockade in melanoma [J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2014, 371: 2189–2199.
- [57] Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, et al. Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer [J]. *Science*, 2015, 348:124–128.
- [58] Van Allen EM, Miao D, Schilling B, et al. Genomic correlates of response to CTLA-4 blockade in metastatic melanoma[J]. *Science*, 2015, 350:207–211.
- [59] Hugo W, Zaretsky JM, Sun L, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic features of response to anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma[J]. *Cell*, 2016, 165:35–44.
- [60] Blank CU, Haanen JB, Ribas A, et al. Cancer immunology. The “cancer immunogram”[J]. *Science*, 2016, 352:658–666.
- [61] Corrales L, Glickman LH, McWhirter SM, et al. Direct activation of STING in the tumor microenvironment leads to potent and systemic tumor regression and immunity [J]. *Cell Rep*, 2015, 11:1018–1030.
- [62] Holmgaard RB, Zamarin D, Munn DH, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase is a critical resistance mechanism in anti-tumor T cell immunotherapy targeting CTLA-4 [J]. *J Exp Med*, 2013, 210:1389–1402.
- [63] Tang DY, Ellis RA, Lovat PE. Prognostic impact of autophagy biomarkers for cutaneous melanoma[J]. *Front Oncol*, 2016, 6:236.
- [64] Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, et al. Durable complete responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer immunotherapy [J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2011, 17:4550–4557.
- [65] Hodi FS, Schachter J, Pavlick AC, et al. Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial[J]. *Lancet Oncol*, 2015, 16:908–918.
- [66] Miller RE, Jones J, Le T, et al. 4-1BB-specific monoclonal antibody promotes the generation of tumor-specific immune responses by direct activation of CD8 T cells in a CD40-dependent manner [J]. *J Immunol*, 2002, 169:1792–1800.
- [67] Redmond WL, Gough MJ, Charbonneau B, et al. Defects in the acquisition of CD8 T cell effector function after priming with tumor or soluble antigen can be overcome by the addition of an Ox40 agonist[J]. *Cell*, 2007, 129(11):7244–7253.
- [68] Ribas A. Adaptive immune resistance: how cancer protects from immune attack[J]. *Cancer Discov*, 2015, 5:915–919.
- [69] Weber JS, D'Angelo SP, Minor D, et al. Nivolumab versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced melanoma who progressed after anti-CTLA-4 treatment (CheckMate 037): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial [J]. *Lancet Oncol*, 2015, 16:375–384.
- [70] Hicklin DJ, Wang Z, Arienti F, et al. Beta2-microglobulin mutations, HLA class I antigen loss, and tumor progression in melanoma[J]. *J Clin Invest*, 1998, 101:2720–2729.
- [71] Moon EK, Wang LC, Dolfi DV, et al. Multifactorial T-cell hypofunction that is reversible can limit the efficacy of chimeric antigen receptor-transduced human T cells in solid tumors[J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2014, 20:4262–4273.
- [72] Ninomiya S, Narala N, Huye L, et al. Tumor indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) inhibits CD19-CAR T cells and is downregulated by lymphodepleting drugs [J]. *Blood*, 2015, 125:3905–3916.
- [73] Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, et al. Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2015, 373:23–34.
- [74] Postow MA, Chesney J, Pavlick AC, et al. Nivolumab and

- ipilimumab versus ipilimumab in untreated melanoma[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2015, 372: 2006–2017.
- [75] Wolchok JD, Kluger H, Callahan MK, et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2013, 369: 122–133.
- [76] Topalian SL, Drake CG, Pardoll DM. Immune checkpoint blockade: a common denominator approach to cancer therapy[J]. *Cancer Cell*, 2015, 27: 450–461.
- [77] Anderson AC, Joller N, Kuchroo VK. Lag-3, Tim-3, and TIGIT: co-inhibitory receptors with specialized functions in immune regulation[J]. *Immunity*, 2016, 44: 989–1004.
- [78] Sharma P, Allison JP. Immune checkpoint targeting in cancer therapy: toward combination strategies with curative potential[J]. *Cell*, 2015, 161: 205–214.
- [79] Jackaman C, Majewski D, Fox SA, et al. Chemotherapy broadens the range of tumor antigens seen by cytotoxic CD8(+) T cells in vivo[J]. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, 2012, 61(12): 2343–2356.
- [80] Galluzzi L, Senovilla L, Zitvogel L, et al. The secret ally: immunostimulation by anticancer drugs [J]. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 2012, 11(3): 215–233.
- [81] Nizar S, Copier J, Meyer B, et al. T-regulatory cell modulation: the future of cancer immunotherapy?[J]. *Br J Cancer*, 2009, 100(11): 1697–1703.
- [82] Chiringhelli F, Menard C, Puig PE, et al. Metronomic cyclophosphamide regimen selectively depletes CD4⁺ CD25⁺ regulatory T cells and restores T and NK effector functions in end stage cancer patients [J]. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, 2007, 56(5): 641–648.
- [83] Shurin GV, Tourkova IL, Kaneno R, et al. Chemotherapeutic agents in noncytotoxic concentrations increase antigen presentation by dendritic cells via an IL-12-dependent mechanism[J]. *J Immunol*, 2009, 183(1): 137–144.
- [84] Yang W, Zhang DX. Advances in combination of radiotherapy and immune checkpoint inhibitors[J]. *Medical Recapitulate*, 2017, 23(2): 253–256. [杨薏, 张大昕. 放疗联合免疫检查点抑制剂的进展[J]. *医学综述*, 2017, 23(2): 253–256.]
- [85] Demaria S, Kawashima N, Yang AM, et al. Immune-mediated inhibition of metastases following treatment with local radiation and CTLA-4 blockade in a mouse model of breast cancer[J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2005, 15(11): 728–734.
- [86] Deng L, Liang H, Burnette B, et al. Irradiation and anti-PD-L1 treatment synergistically promote antitumor immunity in mice[J]. *J Clin Invest*, 2014, 124(2): 687–695.
- [87] Zeng J, See AP, Phallen J, et al. Anti-PD-1 blockade and stereotactic radiation produce long-term survival in mice with intracranial gliomas[J]. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2013, 86(2): 343–349.
- [88] Zhong Y, Hu S. Interactions between targeted therapy and immunotherapy in cancer[J]. *China Cancer*, 2014, 23(11): 934–938. [钟易, 胡胜. 癌症免疫治疗和靶向治疗的相互作用[J]. *中国肿瘤*, 2014, 23(11): 934–938.]
- [89] Homet Moreno B, Mok S, Comin-Anduix B, et al. Combined treatment with dabrafenib and trametinib with immunostimulating antibodies for BRAF mutant melanoma[J]. *Oncoimmunology*, 2015, 5: e1052212.
- [90] Hu-Lieskovan S, Mok S, Homet Moreno B, et al. Improved antitumor activity of immunotherapy with BRAF and MEK inhibitors in BRAF(V600E) melanoma[J]. *Sci Transl Med*, 2015, 7: 279ra41.
- [91] Koya RC, Mok S, Otte N, et al. BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib improves the antitumor activity of adoptive cell immunotherapy[J]. *Cancer Res*, 2012, 72: 3928–3937.
- [92] Chapman PB, Hauschild A, Robert C, et al. BRIM-3 Study Group. Improved survival with vemurafenib in melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation[J]. *N Engl J Med*, 2011, 364: 2507–2516.
- [93] Hauschild A, Grob JJ, Demidov LV, et al. Dabrafenib in BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma: a multicentre, open-label, phase 3 randomised controlled trial [J]. *Lancet*, 2012, 380: 358–365.
- [94] Zhen ZH, Shen CH. Progress of molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy for metastatic malignant melanoma[J]. *China Cancer*, 2014, 23(10): 854–859. [甄振华, 申传厚. 转移性恶性黑色素瘤分子靶向及免疫治疗进展[J]. *中国肿瘤*, 2014, 23(10): 854–859.]
- [95] Boni A, Cogdill AP, Dang P, et al. Selective BRAFV600E inhibition enhances T-cell recognition of melanoma without affecting lymphocyte function[J]. *Cancer Res*, 2010, 70: 5213–5219.
- [96] Bradley SD, Chen Z, Melendez B, et al. BRAFV600E coopts a conserved MHC class I internalization pathway to diminish antigen presentation and CD8⁺ T-cell recognition of melanoma[J]. *Cancer Immunol Res*, 2015, 3: 602–609.
- [97] Frederick DT, Piris A, Cogdill AP, et al. BRAF inhibition is associated with enhanced melanoma antigen expression and a more favorable tumor microenvironment in patients with metastatic melanoma [J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2013, 19: 1225–1231.
- [98] Wilmott JS, Long GV, Howle JR, et al. Selective BRAF inhibitors induce marked T-cell infiltration into human metastatic melanoma[J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2012, 18: 1386–1394.
- [99] Comin-Anduix B, Chodon T, Sazegar H, et al. The oncogenic BRAF kinase inhibitor PLX4032/CG7204 does not affect the viability or function of human lymphocytes across a wide range of concentrations[J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2010, 16: 6040–6048.